



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.  | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/524,693   | 03/14/2000  | Junichiro Yamada     | 044499/0108         | 8885             |
| 22428  | 7590        | 12/07/2004           | EXAMINER            |                  |
| FOLEY AND LARDNER<br>SUITE 500<br>3000 K STREET NW<br>WASHINGTON, DC 20007 |             |                      | CHAWAN, SHEELA C    |                  |
|  |             |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
|  |             |                      | 2625                |                  |

DATE MAILED: 12/07/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|                          |                        |                     |  |
|--------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| <b>Interview Summary</b> | <b>Application No.</b> | <b>Applicant(s)</b> |  |
|                          | 09/524,693             | YAMADA ET AL.       |  |
|                          | <b>Examiner</b>        | Art Unit            |  |
|                          | Sheela C Chawan        | 2625                |  |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Sheela C Chawan.

(3) Mr. Sanjeev K. Dhand.

(2) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 11/22/04.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference  
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Scott et al., (US.6,484,260 B1).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant argues Scott reference fail to teach "performing personal identification by transferring the detected living body characteristic data detector to said portable storage media". The examiner does not agree with applicant , see Scott reference for the support at (column 3, lines 26-52, column 6, lines 41-67, column 8, lines 54- 65, column 11, lines 11-20). Applicant is going to Amdt the claims by explaining how living body characteristic data is transfer to the said portable storage media.